

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 GARY METOYER,

12 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-02-2731 GEB DAD P

13 vs.

14 TOM CAREY, et al.,

15 Respondents.

ORDER

16 \_\_\_\_\_/  
17 On July 25, 2005, petitioner filed a request for  
18 reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed July 18,  
19 2005, granting respondents' request to file a supplemental  
20 answer. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate  
21 judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or  
22 contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court  
23 finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling  
24 was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.  
25  
26

1           Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon  
2 reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed July 18,  
3 2005, is affirmed.

4 DATED: August 18, 2005

5                           /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.  
6 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26